Multidisciplinary Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology

ISSN: 2348-6953

Volume 13 Issue 2 (2026) | Pages: 220-226

Digital Autism: How Social Media Algorithms Influence Neurodevelopment in Children

Darshana P. Yadav[1], A.R. Tilak[2], S.S. Waghmare[3], D.V. Panchal[4], A.U. Murumkar[5],

[123456]MCA, MES IMCC, Pune, Maharashtra, India

[1]dpy.imcc@mespune.in, [2]aaryatilak49@gmail.com, [3]shrutiw113@gmail.com, [4]*panchaldatta508@gmail.com*,

[5]arpitamurumkar13@gmail.com

Peer Review Information

***Type**: Article*
***Received:** 05 March 2026*
***Revised:** 15 April 2026*
***Accepted:** 08 May 2026*
***Published:** 01 June 2026*

Abstract

This new research examines a notable phenomenon of the modern day how algorithmic platforms including but not limited to YouTube, TikTok and Instagram could be affecting children's brain development in terms of autism-like behaviours due to over-stimulation from repeated exposure. These aren't formal diagnoses, but trends like reduced eye contact, delayed speech and social challenges are increasingly common among children who spend ever larger amounts of time consuming passive, repetitive online media[6][10]. This paper introduces the concept of "Digital Autism", a term used to describe newly emerging behavioural patterns associated with excessive exposure to digital environments. It does not imply that these children have autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [7], but rather that they are developing in environments where overexposure to engagement-driven content may influence developmental outcomes. Drawing on 24 studies from neuroscience, psychology, and pediatrics, the authors explore how this form of media affects attention, emotional regulation, and social skills [6], especially during early childhood, a critical period of rapid brain development [10]. Notably, the paper is well-balanced. It allows that not everything on digital media's bad. Yes, some AI tools and learning apps can help children flourish especially those with ASD. But these helpful tools are overwhelmed by engaging, addiction-algorithm content. That's why the authors emphasize quality of screen time over quantity, urging a departure from counting minutes to the type and style of what children consume online. The article ends with useful recommendations for parents, teachers, clinicians and technology creators. They take the form of early screening, more effective content creation, transparent algorithms and stronger parental controls all in an effort to mitigate risk and promote healthier behaviour on digital platforms. Ultimately, "Digital Autism" is a wake-up call. It is not about stigmatizing children but understanding the real, long-tail impact of digital worlds and responding with empathy, science and responsibility.

Keywords: Digital Autism, Social Media Algorithms, Child Neurodevelopment, Screen Time Exposure, Autism-Like Behaviors.

How to Cite This Article

Yadav, D. Tilak, A. Waghmaer, S. Panchal, D. Murumkar, A. (2026). Digital Autism: How Social Media Algorithms Influence Neurodevelopment in Children. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*13(2), 220–226.

Introduction

Research Problem

In the contemporary age, children's experiences differ significantly from those of previous generations. Earlier, children spent most of their time engaging in outdoor activities, playing simple games, interacting with physical toys, and communicating face-to-face with friends and family. However, modern childhood is increasingly shaped by digital technologies such as smartphones, tablets, and smart speakers. Many children are now exposed to screens even before they begin speaking[6].

Digital platforms have evolved to perform multiple roles in children's lives—acting as caregivers, teachers, entertainers, and playmates. These platforms are driven by sophisticated algorithms designed to capture and sustain attention by presenting highly stimulating and fast-paced content[6][13]. As a result, children may spend extended periods interacting with digital environments rather than engaging with the physical world[10].

At the same time, physicians, psychologists, and educators are observing a growing number of children displaying behaviors commonly associated with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)[7], such as reduced eye contact, delayed speech, and difficulties with social interaction. However, many of these children do not meet the clinical criteria for ASD. This observation has contributed to the emergence of the unofficial term **"Digital Autism,"** used to describe autism-like symptoms potentially linked to excessive and unstructured exposure to digital media[10].

Therefore, the central research problem of this review is to examine whether to investigate early and prolonged exposure to digital environments can influence children's cognitive, behavioral, and social development in ways that resemble ASD-like patterns.

Need for review

With the rapid integration of digital media into children's daily lives, there is an urgent need to examine its potential developmental impacts. While digital technologies provide educational and entertainment benefits, concerns are increasing regarding their influence on attention span, language development, emotional regulation, social skills, and even brain structure during early childhood[10][21].

Existing research suggests that modern digital media differs from traditional media because it is algorithm-driven and designed to maximize engagement through continuous streams of stimulating content [13][16]. Such environments may reduce opportunities for real-world interaction, which is crucial for early cognitive and social development [10].

Given the growing concerns and the emergence of the concept of "Digital Autism" [7], it becomes important to systematically review existing research studies including brain imaging, psychological assessments, child behavioural studies, and digital therapy trials[8][17][15] to better understand how digital media exposure may shape developmental outcomes in children.

Therefore, reviewing the findings of multiple studies can help clarify whether certain digital environments may contribute to developmental patterns similar to ASD, particularly when children are exposed to them at a very early age and for extended periods[10][21].

Methodology of the Review

To systematically examine the emerging phenomenon referred to as "Digital Autism," and to investigate the neurodevelopmental, psychological, and medical effects of algorithmically curated digital content on children, we employed a thematic literature review methodology**.** Our goal was not only to identify and categorize existing evidence, but to integrate diverse disciplinary insights in a way that reflects the real-world complexity of child development in digital environments. The review draws on a curated selection of 24 high-relevance studies from fields including developmental psychology, clinical pediatrics, neuroscience, digital education, and behavioral technology [17]**.**

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The selection process that we used was specifically designed to achieve a balanced integration between clinical accuracy and ecological validity, which ultimately allowed us to include a wide variety of methodologies, from those that included highly controlled experimental designs to those that included more naturalistic and real-world research. Due to this selection process, our final set includes 24 studies representing diverse methodological approaches:

- Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of comparison of intervention outcomes in children with ASD and ADHD [3][12][14].
- Pediatric brain development studies using MRI and DTI in brain structure change evaluation [10].
- Epigenetic studies are investigating the strong biological responses that arise from exposure to stress within a virtual context [19].
- Observational studies that investigate the relationship between screen time and cognitive function have been conducted [2][10].

- Qualitative research studies and theses that investigate the complex processes of digital identity construction, and the patterns of emotional dysregulation and self-diagnosis trends on popular social media platforms such as TikTok [13][16].
- Pilot trials on cutting-edge devices like smart glasses, virtual reality therapy, and digital phenotyping apps have already been performed [11][12][15].

This extremely diverse and disparate set of papers afforded us the luxury of being able to provide an extremely textured, multi-layered account of how children's neurodevelopmental outcomes are shaped by digital technologies. We address both the normally developing and neurodiverse child [1][8].

To avoid incoherence and irrelevance, the following inclusion criteria were employed:

- The population studied must include children or adolescents aged 0–18 years.
- The publication date should be between 2015 and 2025, which indicates the speeding up of mobile technology, social media algorithms, and early interventions with AI and VR.
- The research must focus on virtual spaces–including screen time, algorithmic exposure, immersive media, and digital learning or therapeutic use.
- The study must be examining neurodevelopmental, cognitive, behavioral, or emotional effect in the context of digital activity.

These were also excluded from analysis that had only adult samples as participants, explored generalized media effects in a non-algorithmic context, or lacked empirical justification (i.e., opinion essays, editorials, and theoretical speculations) [6].

Databases Searched

To explore the relationship between digital media exposure and child neurodevelopment, a wide range of multidisciplinary studies were reviewed. The literature was collected from major academic and scientific databases that publish peer-reviewed research in psychology, neuroscience, medicine, education, and digital media studies. Databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and ScienceDirect were consulted to ensure comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and research reports.

These databases were selected because they contain extensive publications related to neurodevelopment, cognitive psychology, psychiatry, digital media studies, and child behavioral research. Studies included in the review covered diverse domains such as neuroimaging research on screen exposure, psychological analyses of algorithmic platforms, epigenetic studies on digital stress, and clinical research on technology-assisted therapies.

The collected literature included studies examining brain development, emotional and behavioral effects of algorithmic platforms, digital media's role in identity formation, and the use of digital technologies in therapeutic interventions for neurodivergent children. By sourcing studies from multiple databases and disciplinary perspectives, the review aimed to build an integrated understanding of how digital environments influence children neurologically, behaviorally, and socially.

Table 1: Overview of Reviewed Studies by Domain and Primary Perspective
Table summarizes key studies reviewed in this paper categorized by research domain and perspective.

Study Title	Primary Domain	Perspective (Psych/Medical)	Key Focus
Screen Time and Brain Development (JAMA, 2019)[10]	Neurodevelopment	Medical	White matter changes due to screen time
AI Era Child Development Report (2024)[24]	Cognitive Psychology	Psychological	Reward system stimulation and executive function
Digital Era Mental Health Epigenetics (2025)[19]	Psychiatry/Epigenetics	Medical	Gene expression and mental health effects of digital stress
TikTok ADHD Self-Diagnosis Thesis (2024)[13]	Social Psychology	Psychological	Algorithm influence on identity and self-diagnosis

PDNAS vs ASD Study(2022)[7]	Developmental Neuropsychology	Both	Environmental autism-like traits vs. clinical ASD

Search Query and Keywords

To identify relevant literature, structured search queries were developed focusing on the intersection of digital media exposure, child development, and autism-like behavioral outcomes. Keywords and phrases were combined using Boolean operators (AND, OR) to capture a broad yet relevant set of studies.

The primary keywords used in the search process included:

- Digital Autism
- Screen time and child development
- Algorithmic content and children
- Social media and adolescent mental health
- Neurodevelopment and digital media
- Brain development and screen exposure
- Autism-like behaviors and digital environments
- Epigenetics and digital stress
- Virtual reality therapy for autism
- AI-based therapeutic tools for children
- Digital media and attention regulation
- Social cognition and screen exposure

Example search queries included combinations such as:

- *"screen time AND child brain development"*
- *"digital media exposure AND autism-like behavior"*
- *"algorithmic social media AND adolescent mental health"*
- *"virtual reality therapy AND autism intervention"*
- *"digital stress AND epigenetic changes in children"*

These search strategies helped identify studies examining both the potential risks of passive algorithm-driven media exposure and the emerging therapeutic uses of digital technologies such as AI, VR, and diagnostic applications**.** The search process prioritized recent research while also including foundational studies that explain the neurological, psychological, and social mechanisms associated with children's digital media use.

Algorithm-Driven Content and Cognitive Reinforcement

- Once you land on these platforms, the algorithm-driven recommendation systems (like those of YouTube, TikTok and Instagram) promote content based on user engagement with similar media by repeating it. These systems work by estimating user interests based on watch history, length of time watched, clicks, pauses and interaction patterns[13][16]. This means that even incidental exposure to certain genres of content can trigger persistent recommendations of the same content[13].

- When children are taught to suppress all discomfort, this reinforcement mechanism can be especially alarm our minds and emotional regulation is still in development[10]! For instance, should a child inadvertently view unsavoury, violent or psychologically intense material, recommendation systems may subject the child to that type of content again and again by

algorithmically responding to engagement signals (watch time for example) or replay behaviour. That repeated exposure can naturally normalize negative emotional pathways, ramp up anxiety responses or set behavioral expectations over time[6][10].

- Developmental neuroscience shows that repeated exposure to certain environmental forces during sensitive periods of development can mold pathways in the brain through neuroplasticity[10][19]. Prolonged exposure to emotionally arousing or cognitively quick-paced content may thus affect attention control, emotional processing, and social perception[6][8]. In contrast with traditional media, algorithmic platforms construct tailored feedback loops that potentially constrict the breadth of exposed content

- This phenomenon highlights the importance of understanding not only screen time duration but also algorithmic content patterns[13]. Without appropriate supervision or algorithmic safeguards, children may become unintentionally trapped in recommendation cycles that prioritize engagement over developmental suitability. This raises important concerns regarding ethical algorithm design, child-safe recommendation models, and the need for parental mediation in digital environments[16][8].

Discussion

Gaps in Literature

Although emerging studies highlight the relationship between algorithmically driven digital content and child neurodevelopment[6][10], several gaps remain in the existing literature. Current research indicates that passive and emotionally overstimulating screen exposure can disrupt key developmental processes such as language acquisition, attention regulation, and social cognition during critical developmental periods[10]. Neuroimaging and epigenetic studies have also identified measurable changes in brain structure and stress-related gene expression associated with digital exposure[19].

However, much of the existing research focuses primarily on the amount of screen time [6]**,** rather than examining deeper factors such as content design, algorithmic influence[13][16], context of usage, and the role of parental or social interaction during media consumption. Moreover, while the concept of “Digital Autism” [7] has emerged as a framework to describe autism-like traits resulting from environmental digital exposure, it is not a formally recognized clinical diagnosis, and there is limited empirical research systematically validating or defining this phenomenon.

Another gap lies in the limited integration of interdisciplinary perspectives [8][17]. Collaboration between technology designers, pediatricians, psychologists, educators, and policymakers remains insufficient in current studies. Additionally, research exploring ethical digital design, inclusive digital environments, and developmental safeguards in algorithmic systems [13] is still in its early stages.

Trends and Future Research Directions

Recent research trends show a shift toward understanding the quality and context of digital media use rather than merely the quantity of screen exposure [6][8]**.** Studies indicate that while passive and overstimulating content may negatively affect development [10], therapeutic and educational digital technologies such as intelligent therapy-oriented glasses, virtual reality (VR) systems, and diagnostic applications can positively contribute to children’s development by improving communication skills, reducing anxiety, and enabling personalized interventions [11][12][15][17].

Future research should focus on designing developmentally appropriate digital environments, emphasizing ethical algorithmic design [13], interactive learning, and parental or caregiver co-engagement [16]. Encouraging practices such as co-viewing media, discussing digital content with children, and establishing balanced screen usage may help ensure that digital technology supports rather than replaces human interaction.

Further studies are also needed to evaluate the long-term developmental outcomes of these strategies [10][21]and assess their effectiveness across diverse cultural, social, and economic contexts [23]. Policymakers, designers, educators, and healthcare professionals must work collaboratively to ensure that digital platforms prioritize children’s developmental health.

Ultimately, future research should aim to create a balanced digital ecosystem where technology is used responsibly and intentionally [8][17], ensuring that children’s cognitive, emotional, and social development is nurtured in a rapidly evolving digital world.

Conclusion

In the still spaces of living rooms, classrooms, and cribs, a revolution is quietly occurring. Children, some not yet old enough to walk, are growing up in worlds lit not by sunlight and storybooks—but by the chilly blue light of digital screens. They sing lullabies, they teach ABCs, they provide escape, entertainment, and comfort. And as this paper has examined at length, they also have the power to remould the very structure of childhood.

Our trek through 24 studies—from social experiments to brain scans, from therapy technology to TikTok stories—sketches a portrait that is simultaneously hopeful and profoundly unsettling. We have defined and explained the notion of "Digital Autism"—a term that has given rise not to diagnosis but observation, not judgment but concern. It is a symptom pattern—delayed speech, diminished emotional reciprocity, impaired social skills—observed in children who are not neurodivergent by birth or genetics, but perhaps by environment.

Let's be real: screens are not the cause of autism. But what they can do—is particularly when unfettered, unsupervised, and manipulated by algorithms—imitate social withdrawal and emotional dysregulation characteristic of clinical autism. No need to engender fear from this fact—we should be engaging reflection. For it asks, not merely what our children view, but who views them as well.

What's concerning is that most of the platforms defining children's lives now—YouTube, Instagram, TikTok—were not designed for kids at all. They were designed for interaction. For profit. Their algorithms value speed, intensity, and emotional surprise—not growth, patience, or insight. And when developing brains are fed a continuous diet of stimulation without relationship, repetition without contemplation, what we get is not growth, but developmental substitution—where rich, human learning is substituted with shallow, screen-based feedback loops.

But in the midst of fear, there is hope. This report has illustrated how, when intended and utilized, digital technologies have the potential to empower and raise up. Empathy-teaching smart glasses. VR suites that assist kids in overcoming fear. Diagnostic programs that provide early diagnosis in low-income communities. These are not just devices—these are lifelines. When combined with the wisdom of a therapist, the love of a parent, or the tolerance of a teacher, technology can be a bridge—not a barrier—to human understanding.

But this hope must be anchored in practice. And this is where we discover the gaps—the pressing questions still unasked:

- Where are the long-term studies? We still don't know how years of digital immersion impact a child's future relationships, self-concept, or mental health. Childhood doesn't rewind. We need research that doesn't just observe the moment but follows the story.
- Where are the voices of the underserved? The research is predominantly Western, urban, and affluent. But what about the village child who has a smartphone but no guidance? Or the neurodivergent child in a poor household with free apps as their sole source of help? Theirs are stories worth telling, as well.
- Where is transparency? Algorithms continue to be black boxes. We don't exactly know what kids are being given, or what these systems condition mood, identity, and perception. There's no nutritional content label, no warning about cognitive overload.
- Where is the nuance? A lot of literature continues to confuse watching passively with active engagement. There's a distinction between a child glazing over to autoplay and a child co-learning with a parent on a learning app. We need that to exist.
- Where is the support for clinicians and caregivers? Pediatricians, teachers, and parents feel uninformed, unprepared, or even guilty. We need tools, not rules—science-based guidance, not fear.

So where do we go from here?

We begin by rephrasing the debate. This has nothing to do with blocking screens or stigmatizing devices. It's about restoring humanity to digital life. About creating a world where kids' emotional needs are prioritized over corporate algorithms. A world where developers of technology, psychologists, teachers, and families collaborate to create for development, not distraction.

It is about treating children not as passive consumers, but as complete individuals—curious, sensitive, developing. It is about asking: Is this content engaging them to connect, reflect, imagine, develop? Or is it merely keeping them quiet?

"Digital Autism," therefore, is not a diagnosis. It's a reflection. It shows us the unforeseen effects of allowing profit-driven systems to raise our children. But more so, it shows us the power we still have. The power to intervene. To demand more from our technology. To guide instead of prohibit, to instruct instead of reprimand, and to create a future where screens work for children, not vice versa.

Ultimately, the question isn't whether or not children will be growing up with technology. They already are. The real question is: what type of childhood will we create within that digital space?

Make it one where eyes lock, where play is authentic, where connection is tangible—on screen, perhaps, but most importantly, beyond it.

References

1. J. Corey, J. M. Tsai, A. Mahadeshwar, S. Srinivasan & A. Bhat “Digital motor intervention effects on motor performance of individuals with developmental disabilities”: a systematic review, Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, Vol. 68, No. 11, 2024.
2. Carolynn Hare, Ben Johnson, Megan Vlahiotis, Erin J. Panda, Ayda Tekok-Kilic, Suzanne Curtin “Children’s reading outcomes in digital and print mediums”: A systematic review, *Journal of Research in Reading*, vol. 47, no. 3, 2024.
3. Luting Chu1, Li Shen, Chenhuan Ma, Jinjin Chen, Yuan Tian, Chuncao Zhang, Zilan Gong, Mengfan Li, Chengjie Wang, Lizhu Pan, Peiying Zhu, Danmai Wu, Yu Wang, Guangjun Yu , "Effects of a Nonwearable Digital Therapeutic Intervention on Preschoolers with Autism Spectrum Disorder in China: Open-Label Randomized Controlled Trial," *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 25, 2023.
4. Camilleri L. J., Maras K., and Brosnan M., "The impact of using digitally-mediated social stories on the perceived competence and attitudes of parents and practitioners supporting children with autism," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 17, 2022
5. Sandgreen H., Frederiksen L. H., and Bilenberg N., "Digital Interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Meta-analysis," *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, vol. 51, 2021.

6. Lane R. and Radesky J., "Digital Media and Autism Spectrum Disorders: Review of Evidence, Theoretical Concerns, and Opportunities for Intervention," Current Psychiatry Reports, vol. 21, 2019.
7. Pouretemad H. R., Sadeghi S., Badv R. S., and Brand S., "Differentiating Post–Digital Nannying Autism Syndrome from Autism Spectrum Disorders in Young Children: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study," *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, vol. 52, 2022.
8. Wang T., Ma Y., Du X., Li C., Peng Z., Wang Y., and Zhou H., "Digital interventions for autism spectrum disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis," 2021
9. Paveliev M., Egorchev A. A., Musin F., Lipachev N., Melnikova A., Gimadutdinov R. M., Kashipov A. R., Molotkov D., Chickrin D. E., and Aganov A. V., "Perineuronal Net Microscopy: From Brain Pathology to Artificial Intelligence," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 8, 2024.
10. Hutton J. S., Dudley J., Horowitz-Kraus T., DeWitt T., and Holland S. K., "Associations Between Screen-Based Media Use and Brain White Matter Integrity in Preschool-Aged Children," JAMA Pediatrics, vol. 173, 2019.
11. Vahabzadeh A., Keshav N. U., Abdus-Sabur R., Huey K., Liu R., and Sahin N. T., "Improved Socio-Emotional and Behavioral Functioning in Students with Autism Following School-Based Smartglasses Intervention: Multi-Stage Feasibility and Controlled Efficacy Study," Behavioral Sciences, vol. 8, 2018.
12. Voss C., Schwartz J., Daniels J., Kline A., Haber N., Washington P., Tariq Q., Robinson T. N., Desai M., Phillips J. M., Feinstein C., Winograd T., and Wall D. P., "Effect of Wearable Digital Intervention for Improving Socialization in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Randomized Clinical Trial," JAMA Pediatrics, vol. 173, 2019.
13. Lovelace S., "The Relationship Between TikTok Use and Self-Diagnosis of ADHD: Exploring the Influence of Mental Health Videos on Diagnosis-Seeking Behavior," Harvard University, 2024.
14. Maskey M., Rodgers J., Grahame V., Glod M., Honey E., Kinnear J., Labus M., Milne J., Minos D., McConachie H., and Parr J. R., "A Randomised Controlled Feasibility Trial of Immersive Virtual Reality Treatment with Cognitive Behaviour Therapy for Specific Phobias in Young People with Autism Spectrum Disorder," Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, vol. 49, 2019.
15. Didehbani N., Allen T., Kandalaft M., Krawczyk D., and Chapman S., "Virtual Reality Social Cognition Training for Children with High Functioning Autism," Computers in Human Behavior, vol. 62, 2016.
16. Murcia K., Cross E., Seitz J., and Lowe G., "Children's agency within digital play and learning: Exploring the impact of shared play experiences on parent–child negotiations," Learning, Media and Technology, vol. 45, 2020.
17. Xu F., Gage N., Zeng S., Zhang M., Iun A., O'Riordan M., and Kim E., "The Use of Digital Interventions for Children and Adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder—A Meta-Analysis," Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, vol. 10, 2023.
18. Smith J., "Artificial Intelligence Technologies for Emotion Recognition: Virtual Therapy for ASD," Research Article, 2020.
19. Romeo V. M., "Mental Health in the Pre-Digital and Post-Digital Eras: An Epigenetic Perspective," Psychiatry International, vol. 3, 2022.
20. Zhang H., Cao Y., Jiang H., Zhou Q., Yang Q., and Cheng L., "The present and future of digital health, digital medicine, and digital therapeutics for allergic diseases," Clinical and Translational Allergy, vol. 12, 2022.
21. Aikat V., Carpenter K., Krishnappa Babu P. R., Martino J., Espinosa S., Compton S., Davis N., Franz L., Spanos M., Sapiro G., and Dawson G., "Autism Digital Phenotyping in Preschool- and School-Age Children," Autism Research, vol. 15, 2022
22. Hanrahan R., Smith E., Johnson H., Constantin A., and Brosnan M., "A Pilot Randomised Control Trial of Digitally Mediated Social Stories for Children on the Autism Spectrum," Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, vol. 50, 2020.
23. Lee E. A. L., Milbourn B., Afsharnejad B., Chitty E., Jannings A. M., Kealy R., McWhirter T., and Girdler S., "'We are all bringing, like a unique sort of perspective': The core elements of a strengths-based digital arts mentoring program for autistic adolescents from the perspective of their mentors," Disability & Society, vol. 38, 2023.
24. Neugnot-Cerioli M. and Muss Laurenty O., "The Future of Child Development in the AI Era: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives Between AI and Child Development Experts," UNICEF, 2023.