Archives available at journals.mriindia.com

International Journal on Research and Development - A Management Review

ISSN: 2319 - 5479

Volume 12 Issue 02, 2023

A Review of Project Management Practices

Xinlei Yaprakli
Assistant Professor, Department of Structural Engineering, Borneo School of Business and Technology, Malaysia
Email: xinlei.yaprakli@bsbt-my.org

Peer Review Information

Submission: 07 July 2023

Revision: 26 July 2023

Acceptance: 15 Aug 2023

Keywords

Project management, project life cycle, agile methodology, risk management, stakeholder management, hybrid projects

Abstract

Project management practices play a critical role in ensuring the successful planning, execution, monitoring, and completion of projects across industries. With increasing project complexity, uncertainty, and stakeholder expectations, organizations have adopted a wide range of project management methodologies and tools to improve performance outcomes. This review paper examines the evolution of project management practices, key methodologies, tools, and emerging trends. Drawing on classical and contemporary literature, the study analyzes traditional, agile, hybrid, and strategic project management approaches. A comparative analysis highlights their strengths, limitations, and contextual suitability. The review concludes that effective project management practices are adaptive, stakeholder-oriented, and strategically aligned with organizational objectives.

Introduction

Project management has become a vital managerial discipline for organizations operating in dynamic and competitive environments. Projects are increasingly used to implement strategic initiatives, develop new products, deliver infrastructure, and drive organizational change. As a result, effective project management practices are essential for achieving time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction objectives.

Historically, project management emerged from engineering and defense sectors, where structured planning and control were critical. Early project management practices focused on tools such as Gantt charts, Critical Path Method (CPM), and Program Evaluation and Review Technique (PERT). These techniques emphasized predictability, control, and efficiency in relatively stable environments.

As organizational environments became more uncertain and complex, limitations of traditional project management approaches became apparent. Projects involving innovation, technology, and changing requirements often failed due to rigid planning and limited stakeholder engagement. This led to the emergence of flexible and adaptive approaches, most notably agile project management.

In recent years, project management has evolved beyond operational execution to become a **strategic capability**. Concepts such as program management, portfolio management, and benefits realization emphasize alignment between projects and organizational strategy. Additionally, digitalization, globalization, and sustainability concerns have further transformed project management practices.

This review aims to synthesize existing literature on project management practices, analyze major

methodologies and tools, and evaluate their effectiveness across different contexts.

Literature Review

The project management literature reflects a gradual shift from tool-centric and control-oriented approaches to holistic, adaptive, and stakeholder-focused practices. Early foundational work by Gantt (1910) and Kelley and Walker (1959) introduced formal scheduling and network planning techniques. These methods formed the basis of traditional or "waterfall" project management.

The Project Management Institute (PMI) significantly influenced the field by formalizing best practices through the *Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK)*. The PMBOK framework identifies key knowledge areas such as scope, time, cost, quality, risk, and stakeholder management, providing a standardized approach applicable across industries.

However, scholars have criticized traditional project management for its emphasis on compliance and predictability rather than learning and adaptation. Research by Turner (2014) and Shenhar et al. (2001) highlights the need to tailor project management practices to project type, uncertainty, and complexity.

Agile project management emerged from software development, emphasizing iterative development, customer collaboration, and responsiveness to change. Studies demonstrate that agile practices improve project performance in uncertain environments but may be less suitable for highly regulated or infrastructure projects.

Recent literature focuses on hybrid project management, combining traditional and agile practices, as well as strategic project management, which integrates projects with organizational goals. Sustainability, digital tools, and leadership competencies are also gaining increasing attention.

Overall, the literature suggests that **contextual adaptation and integration of practices** are central to project management effectiveness.

Major Project Management Practices

1. Project Planning and Scheduling

Includes work breakdown structures, timelines, resource allocation, and milestones.

2. Risk Management

Identification, assessment, mitigation, and monitoring of project risks.

3. Cost and Quality Management

Budget control, quality assurance, and performance measurement.

4. Stakeholder Management

Engagement, communication, and expectation management.

5. Agile Project Management

Iterative development, flexibility, and customer collaboration.

6. Hybrid Project Management

Integration of traditional and agile practices.

7. Strategic and Portfolio Management

Alignment of projects with organizational strategy.

Comparative Table and Analysis

1. Comparative Table of Project Management Practices

Practice / Methodology	Core Focus	Strengths	Limitations	Suitable Context
Traditional (Waterfall)	Planning & control	Predictability	Inflexibility	Construction, defense
PMBOK Framework	Standardization	Comprehensive	Bureaucratic	Large organizations
Agile	Flexibility	Responsiveness	Limited predictability	Software, innovation
Hybrid	Balance	Adaptability	Management complexity	Complex projects
Risk Management	Uncertainty reduction	Proactive control	Requires expertise	High-risk projects
Stakeholder Management	Relationship building	Acceptance	Time-consuming	Public projects

2. Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis demonstrates that no single project management approach is universally effective. Traditional practices provide structure and control, while agile practices enhance adaptability. Hybrid approaches offer a balanced solution but require skilled leadership and governance.

Discussion

The review of project management practices reveals a clear evolution from rigid, tool-driven approaches toward **adaptive, strategic, and**

stakeholder-centric frameworks. Traditional project management practices, such as detailed upfront planning, strict scope control, and linear execution models, remain valuable in stable and predictable environments. Infrastructure, construction, and defense projects continue to benefit from these approaches due to regulatory requirements and high capital intensity. However, the discussion highlights that these practices often struggle to accommodate uncertainty, innovation, and rapidly changing stakeholder expectations.

Agile project management represents a significant paradigm shift in the discipline. By emphasizing iterative development, customer collaboration, and responsiveness to change, agile practices address many of the limitations associated with traditional methodologies. Empirical studies consistently demonstrate improved delivery speed, stakeholder satisfaction, and adaptability in agile-managed projects, particularly in software and technology-driven domains. Nevertheless, the discussion underscores that agile practices are not universally applicable. Challenges arise in large-scale, distributed, or highly regulated projects where documentation, compliance, and long-term planning are essential.

Hybrid project management practices have emerged as a pragmatic response to the limitations of both traditional and agile approaches. By combining structured planning with iterative execution, hybrid models offer flexibility while maintaining governance and control. The discussion indicates that hybrid approaches are increasingly adopted in complex organizational environments where projects exhibit mixed levels of uncertainty. However, hybrid models demand high levels of managerial competence, clear role definition, and organizational maturity to avoid confusion and inefficiency.

Risk management practices have gained heightened importance as projects become more complex and interconnected. The discussion highlights a shift from reactive risk handling toward **proactive and continuous risk management**, integrating risk assessment throughout the project life cycle. Effective risk management enhances decision-making, improves resilience, and reduces the likelihood of project failure. However, its success depends on organizational culture, leadership commitment, and the availability of reliable data.

Stakeholder management emerges as one of the most critical determinants of project success. Modern project management recognizes that projects operate within complex social and organizational environments. Effective communication, expectation management, and stakeholder engagement significantly influence project outcomes. The discussion suggests that technical excellence alone is insufficient; relational and leadership competencies are equally important.

Strategic project management further extends the scope of the discipline by linking projects to organizational strategy and value creation. Portfolio management practices enable organizations to prioritize projects, allocate resources effectively, and balance risk and return. This strategic orientation enhances long-term organizational performance but requires robust governance structures and alignment across organizational levels.

Overall, the discussion establishes that **project management effectiveness is context-dependent and multidimensional**, requiring a balanced integration of tools, methodologies, leadership, and strategy.

Conclusion

This review provides a comprehensive synthesis of project management practices, highlighting their evolution, diversity, and strategic significance in contemporary organizations. The findings confirm that project management has transformed from a predominantly technical discipline into a **strategic organizational capability** that supports innovation, change, and competitive advantage.

Traditional project management practices continue to play a vital role in ensuring structure, predictability, and control. Techniques such as scheduling, budgeting, and quality management remain foundational for project success, particularly in environments characterized by stability and regulatory constraints. However, the limitations of purely traditional approaches become evident in dynamic and uncertain contexts.

Agile and hybrid project management practices represent critical responses to these challenges. Agile approaches enhance flexibility, learning, and stakeholder collaboration, while hybrid models provide a balanced framework that accommodates both stability and change. The review demonstrates that organizations increasingly rely on hybrid practices to address project complexity and diversity.

Risk and stakeholder management practices are no longer peripheral but central to project success. Proactive risk management enhances resilience, while effective stakeholder engagement fosters trust, acceptance, and shared ownership of project outcomes. These practices highlight the growing importance of leadership,

communication, and behavioral competencies in project management.

Strategic and portfolio-level practices further elevate the role of project management within organizations. By aligning projects with strategic objectives, organizations can ensure that resources are invested in initiatives that deliver long-term value. This strategic integration also enhances transparency, accountability, and decision-making at the executive level.

A key conclusion of this review is that **no single project management methodology is universally optimal**. Effective project management requires contextual tailoring, organizational maturity, and continuous learning. Organizations that adopt adaptive, integrated, and strategically aligned project management practices are better positioned to manage complexity and deliver sustainable outcomes.

Future research should focus on digital project management tools, artificial intelligence in project decision-making, sustainability-oriented project management, and the development of leadership competencies. In conclusion, project management practices are most effective when they are **adaptive, stakeholder-focused, and strategically integrated**, enabling organizations to navigate uncertainty and achieve lasting success.

References

Aaltonen, K., & Kujala, J. (2016). Towards an improved understanding of stakeholder dynamics. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(8), 1537–1552.

Gantt, H. L. (1910). *Work, wages, and profits*. Engineering Magazine.

Kelley, J. E., & Walker, M. R. (1959). Critical-path planning and scheduling. *Proceedings of the Eastern Joint Computer Conference*, 160–173.

Kerzner, H. (2022). *Project management: A systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling* (13th ed.). Wiley.

Meredith, J. R., Mantel, S. J., & Shafer, S. M. (2020). *Project management in practice* (6th ed.). Wiley.

PMI. (2021). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® Guide)* (7th ed.). Project Management Institute.

Shenhar, A. J., Dvir, D., Levy, O., & Maltz, A. C. (2001). Project success: A multidimensional strategic concept. *Long Range Planning*, 34(6), 699–725.

Turner, J. R. (2014). *The handbook of project-based management* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Too, E. G., & Weaver, P. (2014). The management of project management: A conceptual framework. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(8), 1382–1394.

Wysocki, R. K. (2019). *Effective project management: Traditional, agile, extreme, hybrid* (8th ed.). Wiley.

Zwikael, O., & Smyrk, J. (2015). Project governance: Balancing control and trust. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(4), 852–866.