



Archives available at journals.mriindia.com

**International Journal on Research and Development - A
Management Review**

ISSN: 2319 - 5479

Volume 11 Issue 01, 2022

A Review of Supply Chain Sustainability Strategies

Rashmita Tshering

Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Vindhya College of Engineering Systems, India

Email: rashmita.tshering@vces-in.org

Peer Review Information	Abstract
<p><i>Submission: 11 April 2022</i></p> <p><i>Revision: 26 April 2022</i></p> <p><i>Acceptance: 05 May 2022</i></p> <p>Keywords</p> <p><i>Supply chain sustainability, sustainable supply chain management, green supply chain, circular economy, ESG, sustainable procurement</i></p>	<p>Supply chain sustainability has become a critical strategic priority for organizations seeking to balance economic performance with environmental stewardship and social responsibility. Increasing globalization, regulatory pressure, climate change concerns, and stakeholder expectations have compelled firms to adopt sustainable supply chain strategies. This review paper synthesizes key theories, models, and practices related to sustainable supply chain management (SSCM). It examines environmental, social, and economic dimensions of sustainability, explores strategic approaches such as green supply chains, circular economy models, and supplier collaboration, and evaluates their impact on organizational performance. A comparative analysis of major sustainability strategies is presented, followed by a discussion of implementation challenges and emerging trends such as digitalization and ESG integration. The paper concludes by emphasizing the need for integrated, adaptive, and transparent sustainability strategies to enhance long-term supply chain resilience and competitiveness.</p>

Introduction

Supply chains play a central role in modern business operations, encompassing the flow of materials, information, and finances across organizational boundaries. Traditionally, supply chain management focused on cost efficiency, speed, and reliability. However, growing environmental degradation, social inequality, and regulatory scrutiny have expanded the scope of supply chain management to include sustainability considerations.

Supply chain sustainability refers to the integration of environmental, social, and economic objectives into supply chain design, planning, execution, and governance. Organizations are increasingly held accountable not only for their internal operations but also for the practices of suppliers, logistics partners, and distributors. High-profile incidents related to labor exploitation, carbon emissions, and waste

generation have intensified pressure on firms to adopt sustainable supply chain strategies.

The concept of sustainable supply chain management (SSCM) emerged as an extension of corporate sustainability and corporate social responsibility. It emphasizes minimizing negative environmental and social impacts while maintaining or enhancing economic performance. Sustainability initiatives such as green procurement, eco-design, ethical sourcing, and reverse logistics have become integral to supply chain strategy.

Globalization has further complicated sustainability efforts by increasing supply chain length, complexity, and geographic dispersion. Firms sourcing from developing economies face challenges related to labor standards, regulatory compliance, and transparency. At the same time, digital technologies and data analytics have created new opportunities for monitoring and

improving sustainability performance across supply networks.

Despite growing interest, implementing sustainable supply chain strategies remains challenging due to cost pressures, lack of coordination among stakeholders, and measurement difficulties. Organizations often struggle to align sustainability goals with traditional supply chain performance metrics. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of supply chain sustainability strategies by examining their theoretical foundations, practical approaches, and outcomes. By synthesizing existing literature, the paper seeks to clarify key concepts, compare strategic models, and identify future research and managerial implications.

Literature Review

1. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360–387.
2. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for SSCM. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710.
3. Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line*. Capstone.
4. Srivastava, S. K. (2007). Green supply-chain management. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 53–80.
5. Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009). Building a more complete theory of SSCM. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(2), 37–56.
6. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(3), 265–289.
7. Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2013). A comparative literature analysis of definitions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, 329–341.
8. Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 87, 345–356.
9. Beske, P., Land, A., & Seuring, S. (2014). Dynamic capabilities and SSCM. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147, 131–143.
10. Klassen, R. D., & Vereecke, A. (2012). Social issues in supply chains. *Journal of Operations Management*, 30(1–2), 49–63.
11. Gold, S., Seuring, S., & Beske, P. (2010). Sustainable supply chain management. *Supply Chain Management*, 15(3), 230–241.
12. Pagell, M., & Shevchenko, A. (2014). Why research in SSCM should be explicit. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(1), 44–60.

13. Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics and supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson.
14. Mentzer, J. T., et al. (2001). Defining supply chain management. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 22(2), 1–25.
15. Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986–1014.
16. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62–77.
17. Bansal, P. (2005). Evolving sustainably. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(3), 197–218.
18. UN Global Compact. (2014). *Supply chain sustainability*.
19. ISO. (2018). *ISO 14001 Environmental management systems*.
20. Carter, C. R., & Easton, P. L. (2011). Sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 47(1), 46–58.
21. Köksal, D., Strähle, J., Müller, M., & Freise, M. (2017). Social sustainable supply chains. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(2), 1–18.
22. Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). Organizational theoretic review of green supply chains. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 130(1), 1–15.
23. Ashby, A., Leat, M., & Hudson-Smith, M. (2012). Making connections. *Supply Chain Management*, 17(5), 497–516.
24. Brandenburg, M., et al. (2014). Quantitative models for SSCM. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 233(2), 299–312.
25. Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management* (13th ed.). Cengage.

Supply Chain Sustainability Strategies

- Green supply chain management
- Sustainable procurement and supplier development
- Circular economy and closed-loop supply chains
- Ethical sourcing and labor standards
- Sustainable logistics and transportation
- Collaboration and transparency initiatives

Comparative Table and Analysis

1. Comparative Table of Supply Chain Sustainability Strategies

Strategy	Primary Focus	Benefits	Limitations
Green SCM	Environmental impact	Emission reduction	Cost
Circular Economy	Resource efficiency	Waste reduction	Complexity

Sustainable Procurement	Supplier responsibility	Risk reduction	Monitoring difficulty
Ethical Sourcing	Social sustainability	Reputation	Compliance costs
Sustainable Logistics	Energy efficiency	Cost savings	Infrastructure needs

2. Comparative Analysis

A comprehensive comparative analysis of supply chain sustainability strategies reveals substantial variation in strategic focus, implementation complexity, and performance outcomes. Environmental sustainability strategies, such as green supply chain management and sustainable logistics, primarily target pollution prevention, energy efficiency, and emissions reduction. These strategies are often driven by regulatory compliance and cost optimization and are relatively easier to measure through indicators such as carbon footprint and energy consumption. However, they may fail to address broader social and governance concerns when implemented in isolation.

Circular economy and closed-loop supply chain strategies represent a more systemic approach by emphasizing resource efficiency, product life-cycle management, and waste minimization. These strategies align closely with sustainability and long-term value creation objectives. Their implementation, however, requires substantial coordination among supply chain partners, redesign of products and processes, and investment in reverse logistics infrastructure. Consequently, they pose significant organizational and technological challenges.

Social sustainability strategies focus on ethical sourcing, labor standards, health and safety, and community development. These strategies are particularly relevant in global supply chains where suppliers operate in diverse regulatory and cultural contexts. While social sustainability enhances corporate legitimacy and stakeholder trust, monitoring compliance across multi-tier supply chains remains a major challenge due to limited visibility and enforcement mechanisms.

Economic sustainability strategies emphasize long-term profitability, risk mitigation, and supply chain resilience. These strategies often involve supplier collaboration, diversification, and capability development. When integrated with environmental and social initiatives, economic sustainability contributes to competitive advantage and operational stability. However, short-term cost pressures may

discourage firms from adopting comprehensive sustainability practices.

An integrated sustainability strategy that balances environmental, social, and economic objectives offers the greatest potential for long-term success. Such strategies require strong governance structures, cross-functional coordination, and alignment between sustainability goals and overall business strategy. The comparative analysis indicates that firms adopting integrated approaches are better equipped to manage risks, adapt to regulatory changes, and respond to evolving stakeholder expectations.

Discussion

The increasing emphasis on supply chain sustainability reflects growing recognition of the interconnectedness between business operations, environmental protection, and social well-being. Organizations are no longer evaluated solely on financial performance but also on their ability to manage environmental and social impacts across their supply networks. As a result, sustainable supply chain strategies have become a critical component of corporate sustainability and risk management frameworks. One key discussion point concerns the strategic value of sustainability initiatives. While early sustainability efforts were often reactive and compliance-driven, contemporary approaches emphasize proactive integration into supply chain strategy. Firms adopting sustainability as a strategic priority often benefit from enhanced operational efficiency, reduced waste, improved supplier relationships, and stronger brand reputation. These benefits contribute to long-term competitive advantage and resilience.

However, significant challenges hinder the effective implementation of supply chain sustainability strategies. Cost constraints remain a major barrier, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises. Investments in green technologies, certification programs, and monitoring systems may yield long-term benefits but require substantial upfront resources. Additionally, sustainability initiatives often conflict with short-term cost reduction objectives, creating managerial tension.

Another major challenge is the lack of transparency and traceability in global supply chains. Multi-tier supplier networks make it difficult to monitor environmental and social performance, increasing the risk of non-compliance and reputational damage. Digital technologies such as blockchain, Internet of Things (IoT), and advanced analytics offer promising solutions by enabling real-time data sharing and enhanced visibility. Nevertheless,

technological adoption is uneven and may exacerbate inequalities among supply chain partners.

Collaboration and stakeholder engagement are critical success factors for sustainable supply chain management. Firms increasingly recognize the importance of working closely with suppliers, customers, regulators, and non-governmental organizations to achieve sustainability goals. Collaborative initiatives facilitate knowledge sharing, capability development, and alignment of sustainability standards across the supply chain.

Overall, the discussion highlights that sustainable supply chain management is a dynamic and continuous process requiring commitment, coordination, and innovation. Organizations that treat sustainability as an integral part of supply chain strategy are more likely to achieve enduring performance improvements and societal benefits.

Conclusion

This review provides a comprehensive synthesis of supply chain sustainability strategies by examining their theoretical foundations, strategic approaches, and practical implications. The analysis demonstrates that sustainability has become an essential dimension of supply chain management, driven by regulatory pressures, stakeholder expectations, and the need for long-term resilience.

The review confirms that environmental, social, and economic sustainability strategies offer distinct benefits but also face unique challenges. Environmental strategies contribute to emissions reduction and resource efficiency, social strategies enhance ethical conduct and stakeholder trust, and economic strategies strengthen resilience and profitability. However, isolated implementation of these strategies limits their overall effectiveness.

A key conclusion of this review is that integrated sustainability strategies are more effective in delivering long-term value. Firms that align sustainability objectives with overall supply chain and corporate strategy are better positioned to manage risks, adapt to environmental changes, and maintain competitive advantage. Such integration requires strong leadership, cross-functional coordination, and robust performance measurement systems. The findings also underscore the importance of governance and accountability in sustainable supply chain management. Clear policies, supplier codes of conduct, and transparent reporting mechanisms are essential to ensure compliance and credibility. Without effective

governance, sustainability initiatives risk becoming symbolic rather than impactful.

From a managerial perspective, the review highlights the need for a long-term orientation and continuous improvement mindset. Managers must balance short-term cost pressures with long-term sustainability objectives and invest in capabilities that support innovation and collaboration. From a research perspective, future studies should focus on empirical evaluation of sustainability outcomes, the role of digital technologies, and sustainability challenges in emerging economies.

In conclusion, supply chain sustainability strategies represent a critical pathway toward sustainable development and business resilience. Their success depends on strategic integration, stakeholder collaboration, and continuous adaptation to evolving environmental and social expectations.

References

Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2013). A comparative literature analysis of definitions for green and sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, 329–341.

Ashby, A., Leat, M., & Hudson-Smith, M. (2012). Making connections. *Supply Chain Management*, 17(5), 497–516.

Bansal, P. (2005). Evolving sustainably. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(3), 197–218.

Beske, P., Land, A., & Seuring, S. (2014). Dynamic capabilities and SSCM. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147, 131–143.

Brandenburg, M., et al. (2014). Quantitative models for SSCM. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 233(2), 299–312.

Carter, C. R., & Easton, P. L. (2011). Sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 47(1), 46–58.

Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of SSCM. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360–387.

Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics and supply chain management (5th ed.)*. Pearson.

Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks*. Capstone.

Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse logistics. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 87, 345–356.

Gold, S., Seuring, S., & Beske, P. (2010). SSCM. *Supply Chain Management*, 15(3), 230–241.

Hart, S. L. (1995). *Natural-resource-based view*. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986–1014.

ISO. (2018). *ISO 14001 Environmental management systems*.

Klassen, R. D., & Vereecke, A. (2012). *Social issues*. *Journal of Operations Management*, 30(1–2), 49–63.

Köksal, D., et al. (2017). *Social sustainability*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(2), 1–18.

Mentzer, J. T., et al. (2001). *Defining SCM*. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 22(2), 1–25.

Pagell, M., & Shevchenko, A. (2014). *Explicit SSCM*. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(1), 44–60.

Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009). *Theory of SSCM*. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(2), 37–56.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). *Creating shared value*. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62–77.

Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). *Green supply chains*. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 130(1), 1–15.

Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). *SSCM framework*. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710.

Srivastava, S. K. (2007). *Green SCM*. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 53–80.

UN Global Compact. (2014). *Supply chain sustainability*.

Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). *Green SCM practices*. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(3), 265–289.

Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management (13th ed.)*. Cengage.