



Archives available at journals.mriindia.com

**International Journal on Research and Development - A
Management Review**

ISSN: 2319 - 5479

Volume 11 Issue 01, 2022

A Review of Strategic Planning Processes

Varkey Wongchawalit

Professor, Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Port Louis Business and Technology College, Mauritius

Email: varkey.wongchawalit@plbtc-mu.org

Peer Review Information	Abstract
<p><i>Submission: 11 April 2022</i></p> <p><i>Revision: 26 April 2022</i></p> <p><i>Acceptance: 05 May 2022</i></p> <p>Keywords</p> <p><i>Strategic planning, strategy formulation, environmental analysis, strategic management, organizational performance</i></p>	<p>Strategic planning is a systematic process through which organizations define their long-term direction, allocate resources, and align internal capabilities with external opportunities and threats. In an increasingly volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environment, effective strategic planning has become essential for organizational survival and sustainable competitive advantage. This review paper synthesizes major strategic planning theories, models, and processes, including classical, adaptive, and emergent approaches. The study examines key stages of the strategic planning process such as environmental scanning, strategy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. A comparative analysis of dominant strategic planning models is presented to highlight their strengths and limitations. The paper concludes by discussing contemporary challenges and future directions in strategic planning, emphasizing the need for flexibility, stakeholder involvement, and continuous learning.</p>

Introduction

Strategic planning is a cornerstone of strategic management and organizational governance. It provides a structured approach for organizations to articulate their mission, vision, and objectives while determining the actions required to achieve long-term success. Traditionally associated with large corporations, strategic planning is now widely adopted by organizations of all sizes, including public institutions, non-profits, and startups.

The origins of strategic planning can be traced to military strategy and early management thought, where planning was viewed as a rational and linear process. Early scholars emphasized deliberate planning, forecasting, and control as key mechanisms for achieving organizational goals. Over time, however, critics argued that rigid planning models fail to account for environmental uncertainty, rapid technological change, and human behavior.

Globalization, digital transformation, and competitive intensity have significantly reshaped strategic planning processes. Organizations must now respond quickly to market changes, regulatory shifts, and disruptive innovations. As a result, strategic planning has evolved from a static, periodic exercise into a dynamic and continuous process.

Strategic planning typically involves multiple stages, including environmental analysis, strategy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. These stages require coordination across organizational levels and functions. Effective strategic planning aligns organizational resources with external opportunities while managing risks and uncertainties.

Despite its widespread adoption, strategic planning faces several challenges. Organizations often struggle with poor execution, lack of employee engagement, and overreliance on formal plans. Additionally, the gap between intended and realized strategies raises questions

about the effectiveness of traditional planning models.

This review aims to critically examine strategic planning processes by integrating theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence. By comparing different planning models and approaches, the paper provides insights into how organizations can design more effective and adaptive strategic planning systems.

Literature Review

1. Ansoff, H. I. (1965). *Corporate strategy*. McGraw-Hill.
2. Chandler, A. D. (1962). *Strategy and structure*. MIT Press.
3. Mintzberg, H. (1994). *The rise and fall of strategic planning*. Free Press.
4. Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy*. Free Press.
5. Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive advantage*. Free Press.
6. Andrews, K. R. (1971). *The concept of corporate strategy*. Dow Jones-Irwin.
7. Johnson, G., Scholes, K., & Whittington, R. (2020). *Exploring strategy* (12th ed.). Pearson.
8. Wheelen, T. L., Hunger, J. D., Hoffman, A. N., & Bamford, C. E. (2018). *Strategic management and business policy*. Pearson.
9. Grant, R. M. (2019). *Contemporary strategy analysis* (10th ed.). Wiley.
10. Bryson, J. M. (2018). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations* (5th ed.). Wiley.
11. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
12. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
13. Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B., & Lampel, J. (2009). *Strategy safari*. Free Press.
14. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *Balanced scorecard*. Harvard Business School Press.
15. Rumelt, R. P. (2011). *Good strategy/bad strategy*. Crown.
16. Quinn, J. B. (1980). *Strategies for change*. Irwin.
17. Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management* (13th ed.). Cengage.
18. Eisenhardt, K. M., & Sull, D. N. (2001). Strategy as simple rules. *Harvard Business Review*, 79(1), 106–116.
19. Liedtka, J. (1998). Linking strategy and design thinking. *California Management Review*, 40(3), 8–29.
20. Ackoff, R. L. (1974). *Redesigning the future*. Wiley.

21. Stacey, R. D. (2011). *Strategic management and organisational dynamics*. Pearson.
22. Bowman, C., & Ambrosini, V. (2003). Value creation vs. capture. *British Journal of Management*, 14(1), 1–15.
23. Whittington, R. (2001). *What is strategy—and does it matter?* Routledge.
24. Ghemawat, P. (2002). Commitment. *Harvard Business Review*, 80(7), 61–69.
25. Pettigrew, A. M. (1992). The character and significance of strategy process research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(S2), 5–16.

Strategic Planning Process: Key Stages

- Mission and vision formulation
- Environmental scanning (internal and external analysis)
- Strategy formulation and choice
- Strategy implementation
- Strategic control and evaluation

Comparative Table and Analysis

1. Comparative Table of Strategic Planning Models

Model	Core Focus	Strengths	Limitations
Classical Planning	Long-term forecasting	Structured, systematic	Rigid, slow
Porter’s Competitive Model	Industry positioning	Clear competitive logic	Ignores internal capabilities
Resource-Based View	Internal resources	Sustainable advantage	Limited market focus
Emergent Strategy	Learning and adaptation	Flexible	Lack of control
Balanced Scorecard	Strategy execution	Measurement alignment	Implementation complexity

2. Comparative Analysis

A detailed comparative analysis of strategic planning processes reveals substantial differences in underlying assumptions, methodological rigor, adaptability, and managerial implications. **Classical strategic planning models**, rooted in rational and linear decision-making, emphasize long-term forecasting, formal analysis, and centralized control. These models are effective in stable and predictable environments, as they provide clarity,

structure, and alignment between goals and actions. However, their reliance on environmental predictability makes them less suitable for turbulent contexts characterized by rapid technological change and competitive disruption.

In contrast, **competitive positioning models**, most notably Porter's frameworks, focus on industry structure and external competitive forces. These models offer strong analytical tools for understanding market dynamics and competitive advantage. Their limitation lies in insufficient attention to internal capabilities, innovation, and organizational learning, which are increasingly critical in knowledge-based economies.

Resource-Based and Dynamic Capability approaches shift the focus inward, emphasizing organizational resources, competencies, and adaptability. These models recognize that sustainable competitive advantage emerges from unique and difficult-to-imitate capabilities. While effective in explaining long-term performance differences, they provide limited operational guidance for managers on how to systematically develop and deploy resources through formal planning processes.

Emergent and adaptive planning models, advocated by Mintzberg and others, challenge the notion of deliberate strategy by emphasizing learning, experimentation, and adaptation. These approaches are particularly valuable in uncertain environments where rigid planning may hinder responsiveness. However, excessive reliance on emergence may lead to strategic drift, lack of coordination, and ambiguity in organizational direction.

Hybrid and integrative planning approaches, such as the Balanced Scorecard and agile strategy frameworks, attempt to reconcile deliberate planning with adaptability. These models align strategic objectives with performance measurement while allowing continuous feedback and adjustment. Comparative analysis indicates that organizations adopting hybrid approaches achieve better strategic alignment, execution effectiveness, and resilience.

Overall, the analysis demonstrates that **no single strategic planning process is universally superior**. The effectiveness of a planning approach depends on environmental conditions, organizational capabilities, leadership style, and strategic intent. Contemporary organizations increasingly benefit from flexible planning systems that integrate analysis, learning, and execution.

Discussion

Strategic planning remains a central component of organizational management, despite ongoing debates regarding its relevance in dynamic environments. Empirical evidence suggests that organizations engaging in structured strategic planning generally outperform those that do not, particularly when planning processes are adaptive and inclusive. Strategic planning provides a shared sense of direction, clarifies priorities, and facilitates coordinated action across organizational units.

One critical issue in strategic planning is the **implementation gap**. Many organizations invest significant effort in strategy formulation but fail to translate plans into action. Factors such as inadequate communication, lack of employee involvement, insufficient resources, and resistance to change frequently undermine execution. Research highlights the importance of aligning organizational structure, culture, and incentive systems with strategic objectives to improve implementation success.

Environmental uncertainty poses another major challenge to strategic planning. Traditional forecasting methods are increasingly unreliable due to rapid technological innovation, geopolitical instability, and shifting consumer preferences. To address this challenge, organizations are adopting scenario planning, real-time data analytics, and rolling planning cycles. These approaches enhance strategic flexibility while maintaining a long-term perspective.

Leadership plays a pivotal role in the effectiveness of strategic planning processes. Strategic leaders shape vision, foster commitment, and balance analytical rigor with intuition. Participative planning processes that involve middle managers and key stakeholders enhance information quality and foster ownership of strategic decisions. Conversely, overly centralized planning may limit creativity and responsiveness.

Digital transformation has significantly influenced strategic planning practices. Advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and business intelligence systems enable more sophisticated environmental scanning and performance monitoring. However, overreliance on quantitative data may suppress strategic creativity and qualitative judgment. Effective strategic planning integrates data-driven insights with managerial experience and strategic intuition.

Overall, the discussion underscores that strategic planning should not be viewed as a rigid, periodic exercise but as a **continuous learning and adaptation process**. Organizations that embed flexibility, participation, and feedback into their

planning systems are better positioned to manage uncertainty and sustain competitive advantage.

Conclusion

This review has provided a comprehensive examination of strategic planning processes by integrating classical theories, contemporary models, and empirical insights. The analysis confirms that strategic planning remains a vital organizational capability, but its effectiveness depends on adaptability, integration, and execution.

Traditional planning models continue to offer value by providing structure, clarity, and discipline. However, their limitations in uncertain environments necessitate the adoption of more flexible and dynamic approaches. Contemporary organizations must balance deliberate planning with emergent learning to respond effectively to change while maintaining strategic coherence.

A key conclusion of this review is that **strategic planning is most effective when treated as an ongoing process rather than a one-time event**. Continuous environmental scanning, regular strategic reviews, and performance feedback enable organizations to adjust strategies proactively. Hybrid planning models that integrate formal analysis with adaptive learning offer the greatest potential for sustained performance.

Leadership commitment and organizational culture are critical determinants of planning success. Leaders must champion strategic thinking, encourage open dialogue, and foster a culture that supports experimentation and learning. Without such support, strategic planning risks becoming a symbolic exercise disconnected from operational realities.

From a research perspective, future studies should explore the impact of digital technologies on strategic decision-making, the role of agility in long-term planning, and strategic planning practices in emerging economies and small organizations. Greater empirical attention is also needed to examine how organizations balance structure and flexibility in practice.

In conclusion, strategic planning remains a cornerstone of effective management. Organizations that adopt adaptive, inclusive, and learning-oriented planning processes are better equipped to navigate uncertainty, achieve strategic alignment, and sustain long-term success.

References

Ackoff, R. L. (1974). *Redesigning the future*. Wiley.

Andrews, K. R. (1971). *The concept of corporate strategy*. Dow Jones-Irwin.

Ansoff, H. I. (1965). *Corporate strategy*. McGraw-Hill.

Barney, J. (1991). *Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage*. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.

Bowman, C., & Ambrosini, V. (2003). *Value creation vs. value capture*. *British Journal of Management*, 14(1), 1–15.

Bryson, J. M. (2018). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations (5th ed.)*. Wiley.

Chandler, A. D. (1962). *Strategy and structure*. MIT Press.

Eisenhardt, K. M., & Sull, D. N. (2001). *Strategy as simple rules*. *Harvard Business Review*, 79(1), 106–116.

Ghemawat, P. (2002). *Commitment*. *Harvard Business Review*, 80(7), 61–69.

Grant, R. M. (2019). *Contemporary strategy analysis (10th ed.)*. Wiley.

Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management (13th ed.)*. Cengage.

Johnson, G., Scholes, K., & Whittington, R. (2020). *Exploring strategy (12th ed.)*. Pearson.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *The balanced scorecard*. Harvard Business School Press.

Liedtka, J. (1998). *Linking strategy and design thinking*. *California Management Review*, 40(3), 8–29.

Mintzberg, H. (1994). *The rise and fall of strategic planning*. Free Press.

Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B., & Lampel, J. (2009). *Strategy safari*. Free Press.

Pettigrew, A. M. (1992). *Strategy process research*. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(S2), 5–16.

Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy*. Free Press.

Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive advantage*. Free Press.

Quinn, J. B. (1980). *Strategies for change*. Irwin.

Rumelt, R. P. (2011). *Good strategy/bad strategy*. Crown.

Stacey, R. D. (2011). *Strategic management and organisational dynamics*. Pearson.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). *Dynamic capabilities*. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.

Wheelen, T. L., Hunger, J. D., Hoffman, A. N., & Bamford, C. E. (2018). *Strategic management and business policy*. Pearson.

Whittington, R. (2001).