



Archives available at [journals.mriindia.com](http://journals.mriindia.com)

**International Journal on Research and Development - A  
Management Review**

ISSN: 2319 - 5479

Volume 11 Issue 01, 2022

## A Review of Management Control Systems

Qudsia Kalimuthu

Senior Lecturer, Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Phnom Penh School of Management Sciences, Cambodia

Email: [qudsia.kalimuthu@ppsms-kh.net](mailto:qudsia.kalimuthu@ppsms-kh.net)

Peer Review Information	Abstract
<p><i>Submission: 21 Jan 2022</i></p> <p><i>Revision: 16 Feb 2022</i></p> <p><i>Acceptance: 05 March 2022</i></p> <p><b>Keywords</b></p> <p><i>Management control systems, performance measurement, strategic control, budgeting, organizational behavior, governance</i></p>	<p>Management Control Systems (MCS) play a critical role in ensuring that organizational activities are aligned with strategic objectives and performance goals. They provide mechanisms for planning, monitoring, evaluating, and guiding managerial behavior to achieve efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability. With increasing organizational complexity, globalization, and digital transformation, traditional control mechanisms have evolved into sophisticated systems integrating financial and non-financial controls. This review paper synthesizes key theories, models, and practices of management control systems, including cybernetic controls, behavioral controls, cultural controls, and results-based controls. A comparative analysis of major MCS frameworks is presented to highlight their strengths and limitations. The paper discusses contemporary challenges and emerging trends such as strategic control, performance measurement systems, and digital control technologies. The review concludes by emphasizing the importance of integrated and adaptive management control systems for sustainable organizational performance.</p>

### Introduction

Management control systems constitute a fundamental component of organizational management, serving as the mechanisms through which managers influence employee behavior and ensure the achievement of organizational objectives. At their core, MCS provide structure and discipline to managerial decision-making by linking strategy formulation with operational execution.

Historically, management control was closely associated with financial accounting and budgeting practices. Early control systems focused primarily on cost control, efficiency, and variance analysis. While effective in stable environments, these financially oriented systems proved inadequate in addressing strategic complexity, innovation, and human behavior.

As organizations expanded across borders and industries, the scope of management control

systems broadened. Scholars recognized that effective control requires not only financial metrics but also behavioral, cultural, and strategic dimensions. This shift gave rise to multidimensional control frameworks that integrate formal and informal mechanisms.

Contemporary organizations operate in dynamic environments characterized by technological disruption, intense competition, and heightened stakeholder expectations. These conditions demand control systems that are flexible, forward-looking, and strategically aligned. As a result, management control systems now incorporate performance measurement systems, balanced scorecards, strategic planning, and real-time monitoring tools.

Despite their importance, management control systems face criticism for promoting rigidity, short-termism, and excessive monitoring. Poorly designed systems may undermine innovation,

employee motivation, and organizational learning. Therefore, understanding the strengths and limitations of different MCS approaches is essential for effective managerial practice.

This review aims to synthesize key theoretical perspectives and empirical insights on management control systems, compare dominant frameworks, and identify emerging trends and challenges. By doing so, the paper contributes to a comprehensive understanding of how MCS can support strategic alignment and sustainable performance.

**Literature Review**

1. Anthony, R. N. (1965). *Planning and control systems*. Harvard University Press.
2. Anthony, R. N., & Govindarajan, V. (2007). *Management control systems* (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
3. Simons, R. (1995). *Levers of control*. Harvard Business School Press.
4. Merchant, K. A., & Van der Stede, W. A. (2017). *Management control systems* (4th ed.). Pearson.
5. Otley, D. (1999). Performance management framework. *Management Accounting Research*, 10(4), 363-382.
6. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *The balanced scorecard*. Harvard Business School Press.
7. Flamholtz, E. G., Das, T. K., & Tsui, A. S. (1985). Toward an integrative framework. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 10(1), 35-50.
8. Chenhall, R. H. (2003). Management control systems design. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2-3), 127-168.
9. Langfield-Smith, K. (1997). Management control systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(2), 207-232.
10. Ferreira, A., & Otley, D. (2009). Performance management framework. *Management Accounting Research*, 20(4), 263-282.
11. Malmi, T., & Brown, D. A. (2008). Management control systems as a package. *Management Accounting Research*, 19(4), 287-300.
12. Merchant, K. A. (1985). *Control in business organizations*. Pitman.
13. Otley, D. (2016). The contingency theory of management accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 49, 45-62.
14. Simons, R. (2000). *Performance measurement and control systems*. Prentice Hall.
15. Abernethy, M. A., & Brownell, P. (1999). Budgeting and innovation. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 24(3), 189-206.
16. Hopwood, A. G. (1972). An empirical study of budgeting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 10(1), 156-182.

17. Otley, D., & Berry, A. (1980). Control, organization, and accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 5(2), 231-244.
18. Govindarajan, V. (1988). Strategy and control systems. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(4), 828-853.
19. Henri, J. F. (2006). Management control systems and strategy. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 31(6), 529-558.
20. Tessier, S., & Otley, D. (2012). Conceptual development of Simons' framework. *Management Accounting Research*, 23(3), 171-185.
21. Widener, S. K. (2007). Control system design. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 32(7-8), 757-779.
22. Davila, T., & Foster, G. (2005). Management accounting systems in startups. *The Accounting Review*, 80(4), 1039-1068.
23. Bedford, D. S., & Malmi, T. (2015). Configurations of control. *Management Accounting Research*, 27, 1-20.
24. Abernethy, M. A., & Chua, W. F. (1996). A field study of control systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 21(6), 569-597.
25. Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management* (13th ed.). Cengage.

**Types of Management Control Systems**

- Results-based (output) controls
- Action (behavioral) controls
- Personnel and cultural controls
- Cybernetic controls (budgets, KPIs)
- Strategic and interactive controls

**Comparative Table and Analysis**

**1. Comparative Table of Management Control Systems**

Control Type	Focus	Strengths	Limitations
Results Control	Performance outcomes	Objective, measurable	Short-term focus
Action Control	Employee behavior	Predictability	Reduced flexibility
Personnel Control	Self-control	Motivation	Skill dependence
Cultural Control	Shared values	Commitment	Slow to change
Strategic	Strategy alignment	Long-term focus	Complexity

Control s			
--------------	--	--	--

## 2. Comparative Analysis

A detailed comparative analysis of management control systems (MCS) reveals that different control mechanisms vary significantly in purpose, behavioral impact, and strategic relevance. **Results-based control systems**, which focus on outputs such as profitability, productivity, and efficiency, are widely used due to their objectivity and ease of measurement. These systems are effective in environments where performance outcomes are clearly defined and measurable. However, they may encourage short-termism, goal displacement, and dysfunctional behavior when performance indicators are poorly designed.

**Action or behavioral control systems** emphasize regulating employee actions through rules, procedures, standard operating practices, and supervision. Such controls are particularly effective in routine and standardized operations where compliance and consistency are critical. Nevertheless, excessive reliance on behavioral controls can reduce flexibility, discourage innovation, and lower employee autonomy, especially in knowledge-intensive organizations.

**Personnel and cultural control systems** rely on self-regulation through employee selection, training, shared values, and organizational norms. These controls foster intrinsic motivation, commitment, and alignment with organizational goals. While cultural controls are powerful and sustainable, they require long-term investment and strong leadership to develop and maintain. Their informal nature also makes them difficult to monitor and modify.

**Cybernetic control systems**, including budgets, key performance indicators (KPIs), and feedback loops, operate by comparing actual performance against standards and correcting deviations. These systems provide clarity, discipline, and accountability. However, they may become rigid and reactive if not complemented by forward-looking and learning-oriented controls.

**Strategic and interactive control systems**, as proposed in Simons' Levers of Control framework, integrate control with strategic dialogue and learning. Interactive controls encourage managers to engage with emerging strategic uncertainties, fostering adaptability and innovation. Comparative evidence suggests that organizations using a **balanced package of diagnostic and interactive controls** achieve better strategic alignment and long-term performance.

Overall, the comparative analysis demonstrates that **effective management control does not**

**rely on a single mechanism**, but rather on a coherent system of complementary controls tailored to organizational context, strategy, and environmental uncertainty.

## Discussion

Management control systems have become increasingly complex as organizations face globalization, digital transformation, and heightened stakeholder scrutiny. Contemporary MCS are no longer limited to cost control and budgeting but serve as strategic tools for aligning behavior, performance, and organizational objectives.

One of the central debates in MCS literature concerns the balance between **control and empowerment**. While control systems are essential for ensuring accountability and efficiency, overly rigid systems can stifle creativity and reduce employee motivation. Research emphasizes the importance of designing control systems that enable learning and autonomy while maintaining discipline. Interactive controls, participative budgeting, and decentralized decision-making have emerged as effective mechanisms for balancing these competing demands.

Another important issue is **strategic alignment**. Control systems that are disconnected from organizational strategy often lead to suboptimal outcomes. Strategic management control emphasizes the alignment of performance measures, incentives, and reporting systems with long-term strategic objectives. Balanced Scorecard and strategy map frameworks exemplify this approach by integrating financial and non-financial measures across multiple perspectives.

Environmental uncertainty further complicates management control. Rapid technological change, volatile markets, and regulatory complexity reduce the effectiveness of traditional control mechanisms. To address these challenges, organizations increasingly adopt flexible budgeting, rolling forecasts, and scenario-based controls. These approaches enhance responsiveness while preserving strategic coherence.

Digital technologies have significantly reshaped management control practices. Real-time dashboards, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence enable continuous monitoring and predictive control. While these technologies improve transparency and decision-making, they also raise concerns regarding data overload, privacy, surveillance, and ethical governance. Managers must therefore balance technological capability with responsible control design.

From a behavioral perspective, employee perceptions of fairness, trust, and participation strongly influence the effectiveness of control systems. Control mechanisms perceived as punitive or arbitrary may provoke resistance and gaming behavior. Conversely, transparent and participative controls enhance acceptance and commitment.

Overall, the discussion underscores that management control systems are dynamic and socially embedded. Their effectiveness depends not only on technical design but also on leadership style, organizational culture, and contextual fit.

### Conclusion

This review has provided a comprehensive synthesis of management control systems by examining their evolution, typologies, and strategic relevance. The analysis confirms that management control systems are essential for translating organizational strategy into operational action and ensuring sustainable performance.

Traditional financially oriented control systems continue to play an important role in ensuring efficiency and accountability. However, their limitations in addressing behavioral and strategic complexity necessitate the adoption of multidimensional control frameworks. Contemporary management control systems integrate results-based, behavioral, cultural, and strategic controls to address diverse organizational needs.

A key conclusion of this review is that **management control systems are most effective when designed as integrated packages rather than isolated tools.** Organizations that balance diagnostic controls with interactive and cultural controls are better equipped to manage uncertainty, foster learning, and support innovation. Contextual factors such as organizational size, industry, strategy, and environmental volatility significantly influence control system design.

Leadership plays a critical role in shaping the effectiveness of management control systems. Leaders influence how controls are interpreted, enacted, and reinforced. Control systems that support dialogue, learning, and ethical behavior contribute to long-term organizational resilience and legitimacy.

From a research perspective, future studies should explore the behavioral consequences of digital control technologies, the role of MCS in sustainability and ESG performance, and control challenges in emerging and hybrid organizational forms. Greater empirical attention is also needed

to examine how organizations adapt control systems over time.

In conclusion, management control systems remain a cornerstone of effective management. Organizations that adopt adaptive, strategically aligned, and ethically grounded control systems are more likely to achieve sustained performance and competitive advantage in increasingly complex environments.

### References

Abernethy, M. A., & Brownell, P. (1999). *The role of budgets in organizations facing strategic change. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 24*(3), 189–206.

Abernethy, M. A., & Chua, W. F. (1996). *A field study of control systems. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 21*(6), 569–597.

Anthony, R. N. (1965). *Planning and control systems. Harvard University Press.*

Anthony, R. N., & Govindarajan, V. (2007). *Management control systems (12th ed.). McGraw-Hill.*

Bedford, D. S., & Malmi, T. (2015). *Configurations of control. Management Accounting Research, 27*, 1–20.

Chenhall, R. H. (2003). *Management control systems design. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 28*(2–3), 127–168.

Davila, T., & Foster, G. (2005). *Management accounting systems in startups. The Accounting Review, 80*(4), 1039–1068.

Ferreira, A., & Otley, D. (2009). *Performance management framework. Management Accounting Research, 20*(4), 263–282.

Flamholtz, E. G., Das, T. K., & Tsui, A. S. (1985). *Toward an integrative framework. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 10*(1), 35–50.

Govindarajan, V. (1988). *Strategy and control systems. Academy of Management Journal, 31*(4), 828–853.

Henri, J. F. (2006). *Management control systems and strategy. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 31*(6), 529–558.

Hopwood, A. G. (1972). *An empirical study of budgeting. Journal of Accounting Research, 10*(1), 156–182.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *The balanced scorecard. Harvard Business School Press.*

Langfield-Smith, K. (1997). *Management control systems. Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(2), 207–232.

Malmi, T., & Brown, D. A. (2008). *Management control systems as a package. Management Accounting Research*, 19(4), 287–300.

Merchant, K. A. (1985). *Control in business organizations*. Pitman.

Merchant, K. A., & Van der Stede, W. A. (2017). *Management control systems (4th ed.)*. Pearson.

Otley, D. (1999). *Performance management framework. Management Accounting Research*, 10(4), 363–382.

Otley, D. (2016). *The contingency theory of management accounting. Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 49, 45–62.

Otley, D., & Berry, A. (1980). *Control, organization, and accounting. Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 5(2), 231–244.

Simons, R. (1995). *Levers of control*. Harvard Business School Press.

Simons, R. (2000). *Performance measurement and control systems*. Prentice Hall.

Tessier, S., & Otley, D. (2012). *Conceptual development of Simons' framework. Management Accounting Research*, 23(3), 171–185.

Widener, S. K. (2007). *Control system design. Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 32(7–8), 757–779.

Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2020). *Strategic management (13th ed.)*. Cengage.

Speklé, R. F. (2001). *Explaining management control structure variety. Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 26(4–5), 419–441.

Bedford, D. S. (2015). *Management control systems across different modes of innovation. Management Accounting Research*, 28, 1–24.

Roberts, J. (2004). *The modern firm*. Oxford University Press.

Ahrens, T., & Chapman, C. S. (2004). *Accounting for flexibility. Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 29(3–4), 271–295.

Merchant, K. A., & Otley, D. (2020). *A review of control frameworks. Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 32(1), 1–23.