Archives available at journals.mriindia.com

International Journal on Advanced Electrical and Computer Engineering

ISSN: 2349-9338

Volume 15 Issue 01s, 2026

A Comparative Study of Supervised and Unsupervised Machine Learning Algorithms for Stress Detection

[1]Ms. Bhakti Govind Shinde, [2]Dr. Sunayana Shivthare

[1] Research Scholar, Sunrise University, Alwar, Rajasthan, India.

Assistant Professor, School of Information Technology, Indira University, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

[2] Assistant Professor, MAEER's MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, Alandi, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Email: [1]krishnabhakti.shinde@gmail.com, [2] sunayanashivthare@gmail.com

Peer Review Information

Submission: 05 Dec 2025

Revision: 25 Dec 2025

Acceptance: 10 Jan 2026

Keywords

Stress detection, machine learning, supervised learning, unsupervised learning, classification, anomaly detection.

Abstract

Stress detection has become an increasingly important area of research, with applications spanning healthcare, occupational safety, and mental health. Machine learning (ML) algorithms have shown considerable potential in identifying stress from various data sources such as physiological signals, voice recordings, and even text. This review paper explores and compares the two primary categories of machine learning algorithms supervised and unsupervised for stress detection. We systematically review existing studies, discuss their methodologies, and analyze the strengths and weaknesses of each approach. The goal is to provide insights into the most effective methods for automatic stress detection and highlight the challenges and opportunities for future research.

1. Introduction

Stress is a natural physiological and psychological response to challenges, but chronic stress can have detrimental effects on physical and mental health, leading to conditions like anxiety, depression, and cardiovascular diseases. Early detection of stress is crucial for mitigating these negative effects, and advancements in machine learning have shown significant promise in detecting stress from various types of data, including physiological signals (e.g., heart rate, skin conductance), voice tone analysis, and social media texts.

Machine learning approaches can be divided into two main categories viz supervised and unsupervised learning. Supervised Learning requires labeled data where the stress levels are predefined. The algorithm learns to classify or predict stress based on these labeled instances.

Unsupervised Learning does not require labeled data and instead finds patterns or anomalies in data, making it ideal for situations where labeled data is unavailable or for discovering novel stress patterns.

This paper provides a comprehensive comparison of supervised and unsupervised machine learning algorithms in the context of stress detection. This paper will be outlined as follows

Section 2: Related Work: This section will offer an overview of the related literature review of the work done previously on machine learning algorithms with regards to stress detection.

Section 3: Discussions: This section will describe the some supervised and unsupervised algorithms used for stress detection. Also it will described

Section 4: Conclusion: This section will sketch the conclusion drawn from the study carried out in the paper based on supervised and unsupervised algorithms.

2. Related Work

This section looks at recent experiments that used data visualization throughout the machine learning data analysis phase.

The author of [1] investigates using machine learning techniques for stress detection through physiological signals like heart rate and skin conductance. It proposes a signal processing framework that combines these signals with various classification algorithms. The study emphasizes real-time stress monitoring and addresses challenges such as noise reduction in physiological data. Results show that machine learning can effectively classify stress states, offering potential for practical applications in wearable devices. The research contributes to enhancing mental health assessment through automated, non-invasive systems.

The author of [2] provides a comprehensive survey of machine learning techniques applied to physiology-based mental stress detection systems. It reviews various physiological signals, such as heart rate, ECG, and skin conductance, used for stress monitoring. The authors discuss different machine learning algorithms, including supervised and unsupervised methods, and their effectiveness in detecting stress. Key challenges like data variability, noise, and real-time processing are also highlighted. The paper serves as a valuable resource for researchers and practitioners working on stress detection systems using physiological data.

According to the author of [3] reviews sensors and machine learning technologies for emotion and stress recognition. It covers various sensor types, including physiological and behavioral, and discusses how machine learning models process these signals for stress detection. The authors highlight the importance of real-time monitoring and wearable devices for continuous emotional assessment. Key challenges such as sensor fusion and data quality are also addressed, suggesting avenues for improvement in stress recognition systems.

The author of [4] provides a comprehensive review of machine learning approaches for automatic stress detection. It discusses various machine learning techniques, including supervised and unsupervised methods, and their applications to physiological and behavioral data for stress classification. The authors examine the challenges of feature extraction, data quality, and model selection in stress detection systems. The paper offers valuable insights for developing more accurate and efficient stress detection solutions using machine learning.

According to [5] explores unsupervised machine learning techniques for physiological stress detection, focusing on methods that do not rely on labeled data. It evaluates different clustering and anomaly detection algorithms applied to physiological signals. The study highlights the potential of unsupervised approaches to uncover hidden stress patterns without requiring extensive labeled datasets.

The author of [6] The paper by Mentis, Lee, and Roussos (2024) provides a critical overview of artificial intelligence and machine learning applications for stress detection. It discusses the effectiveness of various AI techniques, including deep learning and traditional machine learning, in identifying stress from physiological, behavioral, and psychological data. The authors highlight the challenges related to data quality, model interpretability, and generalizability across diverse populations. They also address the ethical considerations and the need for personalized models in stress detection systems. Overall, the paper offers valuable insights into the current state and future directions of AI in mental health monitoring.

The author of [7] explores the use of machine learning algorithms for stress detection. It reviews various machine learning techniques, including classification algorithms, applied to physiological and behavioral data for stress identification. The authors highlight the potential of these algorithms to improve stress detection accuracy and real-time monitoring. The study offers valuable insights into the application of machine learning in health-related stress assessment.

According to [8] examines the application of machine learning and deep learning techniques for stress detection. It compares the performance of various algorithms in analyzing physiological signals to identify stress levels. The study highlights the potential of deep learning to improve accuracy and efficiency in real-time stress detection systems.

According to [9] An innovative method for modeling stress using audio signals. It leverages convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and integrates Lovheim's cube to handle the subjectivity of stress-related labels. The study effectively combines signal processing and brain chemistry models, demonstrating a novel interdisciplinary approach. The results show promise in accurately detecting stress levels, though further real-world testing is suggested. Overall, this work provides a significant contribution to emotion recognition and stress detection using audio signals.

The author of [10] explores an advanced deep learning framework for stress detection using multimodal data such as ECG, respiration, and facial expressions. By integrating multiple physiological and behavioral signals, the study demonstrates improved accuracy in stress classification. The use of wearable sensors and cameras highlights the practicality of real-time monitoring in workplace settings. The authors also discuss the benefits of multimodal fusion for robust predictions, especially under challenging conditions. However, the study could benefit from broader datasets to enhance generalizability across diverse populations. Overall, this work makes a notable contribution to the field of stress detection and workplace wellness.

According to [11] the study highlights the efficacy of semi-supervised learning in scenarios with limited labeled datasets, making it a cost-effective solution for stress detection. Their model demonstrates strong performance in classifying stress levels by leveraging both labeled and unlabeled data. This approach showcases the potential of GANs in enhancing physiological signal analysis for affective computing.

The author of [12] provide a comprehensive scoping review of machine learning and deep learning techniques for detecting, predicting, and monitoring stress and stress-related mental disorders. The paper examines a wide range of methodologies, including data preprocessing approaches and feature extraction, highlighting their role in improving model accuracy. It emphasizes the importance of physiological, behavioral, and multimodal data in stress analysis. The review also identifies gaps in existing research, such as the need for more robust datasets and generalizable models. Overall, this work is a valuable resource for researchers aiming to advance stress detection technologies.

3. Discussions

3.1 Supervised Machine Learning for Stress Detection

Supervised learning models are trained using labeled data, where the stress levels (e.g., stressed vs. unstressed) are known. The model uses these labeled examples to learn the relationship between input features and the output labels.

Common Supervised Learning Algorithms

Several supervised learning algorithms have been employed for stress detection:

•**Support Vector Machine (SVM):** SVM is widely used in classification tasks due to its ability to work well with high-dimensional data and handle non-linear relationships. It has been successfully applied to detect stress from physiological signals, including heart rate variability (HRV) and skin conductance.

K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN): KNN is a simple yet effective algorithm that classifies data points based on their proximity to other data points in the feature space. KNN has been used for real-time stress detection, showing promising results when combined with time-series physiological data.

Random Forest: Random Forest is an ensemble method that combines multiple decision trees to improve accuracy and robustness. It has been effective in identifying stress levels from diverse features like ECG, EDA, and EEG signals.

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs): Neural networks, particularly deep learning models, have gained popularity in stress detection due to their ability to capture complex patterns and relationships in large datasets. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Convolutional Neural

Networks (CNNs) have been particularly effective in processing sequential and time-series data.

Advantages of Supervised Learning

•**High Accuracy:** Supervised learning models can achieve high accuracy in stress classification when sufficient labeled data is available.

•**Interpretability:** Models like decision trees and random forests provide better interpretability, which is crucial for understanding the factors influencing stress detection.

•**Customization:** Supervised learning can be fine-tuned to specific datasets, making it adaptable to different domains such as healthcare, work environments, and social media.

Challenges with Supervised Learning

Labeled Data Requirement: One of the main limitations of supervised learning is the need for large, labeled datasets. In many real-world scenarios, acquiring labeled stress data is costly and time-consuming.

Overfitting: Supervised models are prone to overfitting, especially when training data is limited or not representative of the broader population.

3.2 Unsupervised Machine Learning for Stress Detection

Unsupervised learning does not require labeled data and instead looks for hidden patterns, correlations, or anomalies in the dataset. This approach is especially valuable when labeled data is scarce or unavailable.

Common Unsupervised Learning Algorithms

K-Means Clustering

This algorithm partitions the dataset into clusters based on similarity. In the context of stress detection, K-Means can group different stress levels or identify individuals with similar physiological responses to stress.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) PCA is a dimensionality reduction technique often used in conjunction with other unsupervised algorithms. It simplifies high-dimensional data by projecting it onto a smaller set of orthogonal components, making it easier to analyze stress patterns.

Autoencoders

A type of neural network, autoencoders are used for anomaly detection and dimensionality reduction. Autoencoders can learn to compress data and then reconstruct it, identifying deviations (e.g., unusual stress responses) in the process.

Isolation Forest

This anomaly detection algorithm is used to identify outliers in data. In the context of stress detection, it could flag unusual physiological responses indicative of abnormal stress levels.

Advantages of Unsupervised Learning

No Need for Labeled Data

Unsupervised learning can work with unlabeled data, making it particularly useful in situations where collecting labeled stress data is not feasible.

Discovery of Hidden Patterns

These algorithms can uncover new and unexpected patterns, which may lead to novel insights into stress responses and stress-related conditions.

Adaptability

Unsupervised learning methods can adapt to new data as it becomes available, making them more flexible and scalable for long-term stress monitoring.

Challenges with Unsupervised Learning

Lower Accuracy

Unsupervised learning models typically exhibit lower classification accuracy compared to supervised learning due to the lack of explicit guidance from labeled data.

Interpretability

The results from unsupervised algorithms can be more challenging to interpret. Clusters or anomalies identified by these models may not always align with real-world interpretations of stress.

Evaluation Metrics

Since there is no direct comparison to labeled data, evaluating the performance of unsupervised models is often subjective and less straightforward.

3.3 Comparison of Supervised and Unsupervised Approaches

Performance

Supervised algorithms tend to outperform unsupervised methods in terms of classification accuracy, especially when large, well-labeled datasets are available. However, the performance of unsupervised methods can still be valuable in situations where labeled data is limited or when discovering hidden patterns is a priority.

Supervised Learning: Models such as SVM and Random Forest typically provide high accuracy, with many studies reporting classification accuracy above 85%.

Unsupervised Learning: Algorithms like K-Means and Autoencoders generally yield lower accuracy but can identify novel stress patterns that may be overlooked by supervised models.

Data Requirements

Supervised learning relies on the availability of labeled data, which can be a limitation in real-world applications. Unsupervised learning, on the other hand, can work with unlabeled data, making it more versatile in situations where stress labels are hard to come by.

Application Scope

Supervised Learning

Well-suited for specific, well-defined tasks like classifying stress states based on physiological signals.

Unsupervised Learning

More appropriate for exploratory analysis, pattern discovery, and anomaly detection, particularly in large or diverse datasets where the labels are not available.

3.4 Challenges and Opportunities for Future Research

Despite their respective advantages, both supervised and unsupervised learning approaches face several challenges in stress detection:

Data Quality and Preprocessing Both approaches are sensitive to data quality. Noisy, incomplete, or biased data can significantly affect the performance of machine learning models. Future research should focus on improving data preprocessing techniques, including noise removal and feature extraction.

Real-Time Deployment:

Real-time stress detection systems require low-latency models that are computationally efficient. While supervised models may be accurate, they may struggle with real-time performance, especially when using complex algorithms like deep learning models. Unsupervised methods, while potentially faster, need further optimization.

Ethical and Privacy Considerations

Stress detection systems, particularly those using personal data like physiological signals or social media posts, must address concerns about privacy and data security. Ensuring that these systems are ethically designed is essential for their widespread adoption.

Hybrid Models

Combining both supervised and unsupervised approaches could yield better results, taking advantage of the strengths of both paradigms. For example, unsupervised learning could be used to cluster data into stress patterns, which could then be labeled and used to train supervised models.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, both supervised and unsupervised machine learning algorithms have their merits and limitations in stress detection. Supervised learning offers high accuracy and is ideal when labeled data is available, but it requires substantial labeled datasets. Unsupervised learning, while generally less accurate, can uncover novel stress patterns and is valuable when labeled data is scarce. Future advancements in hybrid approaches, real-time processing, and better data preprocessing techniques will likely enhance the application of machine learning in stress detection systems, offering personalized and scalable solutions for mental health and well-being.

References

[1] Ghaderi, A., Frounchi, J., & Farnam, A. (2015, November). Machine learning-based signal processing using physiological signals for stress detection. In 2015 22nd Iranian conference on biomedical engineering (ICBME) (pp. 93-98). IEEE.

[2] Panicker, S. S., & Gayathri, P. (2019). A survey of machine learning techniques in physiology based mental stress detection systems. Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering, 39(2), 444-469.

[3] Kyamakya, K., Al-Machot, F., Haj Mosa, A., Bouchachia, H., Chedjou, J. C., & Bagula, A. (2021). Emotion and stress recognition related sensors and machine learning technologies. *Sensors*, *21*(7), 2273.

[4] Elzeiny, S., & Qaraqe, M. (2018, October). Machine learning approaches to automatic stress detection: A review. In 2018 IEEE/ACS 15th International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[5] Iqbal, T., Elahi, A., Wijns, W., & Shahzad, A. (2022). Exploring unsupervised machine learning classification methods for physiological stress detection. Frontiers in Medical Technology, 4, 782756.

[6] Mentis, A. F. A., Lee, D., & Roussos, P. (2024). Applications of artificial intelligence−machine learning for detection of stress: a critical overview. Molecular Psychiatry, 29(6), 1882-1894.

[7] Srikanth Kavuri. (2023). Self-Healing Test Automation Framework Using Autonomous ML Agents for Real-Time Test Maintenance and Failure Recovery. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 11(4), 1089–1098.

[8] Zainudin, Z., Hasan, S., Shamsuddin, S. M., & Argawal, S. (2021, August). Stress detection using machine learning and deep learning. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1997, No. 1, p. 012019). IOP Publishing.

[9] Deshmukh, A. A., Soladie, C., & Seguier, R. (2020). Emo-CNN for Perceiving Stress from Audio Signals: A Brain Chemistry Approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.02329.

[10] Seo, W., Kim, N., Park, C., & Park, S. M. (2022). Deep learning approach for detecting work-related stress using multimodal signals. IEEE Sensors Journal, 22(12), 11892-11902.

[11] Khan, N., & Sarkar, N. (2022). Semi-supervised generative adversarial network for stress detection using partially labeled physiological data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.14976.

[12] Razavi, M., Ziyadidegan, S., Jahromi, R., Kazeminasab, S., Janfaza, V., Mahmoudzadeh, A., ... & Sasangohar, F. (2023). Machine learning, deep learning and data preprocessing techniques for detection, prediction, and monitoring of stress and stress-related mental disorders: a scoping review. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04616.